

Report prepared by the Commission's Division of Planning, Assessment and Performance Funding 1333 Main Street, Suite 200, Columbia, SC 29201, (803) 737-2260, www.che400.state.sc.us

South Carolina State University

Sector: Four-Year Colleges and Universities

Leroy Davis, President 300 College Street NE Orangeburg, SC 29117 (803) 536-7000 www.scsu.edu



At-A-Glance

(Fall 2000 data unless noted otherwise)

For links to mission and webpage, see www.che400.state.sc.us and select "Performance Funding"

Degrees Awarded FY 1999-00 - Associates 679 Bachelor's

- Post Bachelor's Cert.

162 Master's

- Post Master's Cert.

- First Professional

12 Specialist

27 Doctoral

Enrollment Headcount

includes full & part-time students

ents

93% of headcount Minority 3,632 (80% of headcount)

SAT Average

Full-Time

867 (1

4,525

(1st-time entering freshmen. Includes converted ACT

80% of headcount Undergraduate

80% of headcount from SC at entry

scores.)

2000-01 Performance Year Score

ACHIEVES Standards

72% 2.15 of 3.00 Maximum

See "Interpreting Overall Score" at bottom of page

Full-Time Faculty	227 incl. those holding academic rank & primary assignment of instruction, research or public service. (IPEDS)
Tuition	\$3,724 In-State, Full-Time Student
Academic Year 2000-01	\$7,262 Out-of-State, Full-Time Student (includes required tuition and fees, IPEDS Inst. Characteristics Survey)
Financial Dollars In Millions FY 1999-00	\$63.8 Total Revenue, excluding auxiliary \$65.9 Total Educ & General Expenditures and Transfers, excluding auxiliary (IPEDS Finance Survey)

Performance Score Summary

Each indicator or indicator subpart is scored using a 3-point scale. In some cases, institutions may qualify for an additional 0.5 for achieving a certain level of improvement over past performance. Details by indicator follow on pages 2-4. Additional information on the scoring process can be accessed on-line at www.che400.state.sc.us/web/publications.htm - select the "Performance Funding Workbook." (See pages 4-5.)

Total Applicable Indicators (including 2 now assessed in other indicators.)	35 Indicators
Exceeded Standards (or received scores of 3) on	5 Indicators
Achieved Standards (or received scores of 2.00-2.99) on	8 Indicators
Did Not Achieve Standards (or received scores of 1.00-1.99) on	6 Indicators
Achieved Compliance (or received scores of "Complied") on	5 Indicators
Evaluated in Years Other Than Performance Year 2000-01 (includes 2 Deferred)	9 Indicators

Interpreting Overall Score

Comparing the average score on applicable indicators to the maximum 3.00 possible produces the percentage score shown in the upper right hand corner. Institutions within the same sector whose percentage is in the same range as shown below are considered to be performing at similar levels.

Scale for Overall Scoring Category

Substantially Exceeds	95% to 100% or 2.85 to 3.00)
Exceeds	87% to 94% or 2.60 to 2.84	
Achieves	67% to 86% or 2.00 to 2.59	
Does Not Achieve	48% to 66% or 1.45 to 1.99	i
Substantially Does Not Achieve	33% to 47% or 1.00 to 1.44	

"Performance Funding" in SC began with the ratification of Act 359 of 1996, effective July 1, 1996, which required that the SC Commission on Higher Education (CHE) measure annually each public institution's performance in various areas and allocation state appropriated dollars based on performance. Each year, the CHE in cooperation with institutions and other stakeholders has worked to refine the system implemented in 1996 in an effort to ensure and improve the quality of SC's public colleges and universities so they will be globally competitive. Data and scores for indicators used for purposes of allocating FY 2001-02 dollars follow. Yearly revisions and differences across and within sectors make comparisons across performance years and institutions difficult. For a better understanding, please see our website at www.che400.state.sc.us where a detailed guide to the system and measurement (see Performance Funding Workbook, Sept 2000) and data details may be accessed.

DATA and SCORING KEY: Below are performance details for each performance indicator as measured in Performance Year 2000-01, including: the measurement timeframe for this year's performance, historical and current performance data, the standard used in judging current year performance, and subpart and overall indicator scores. In July 2000, the CHE set standards for indicators for similar institutions based on national, regional or state data; data from peer institutions or past institutional performance. For the majority of indicators, performance is judged using a 3-point scale and comparing it to the standard, which is expressed as a range. A score of "2" is awarded if an institution is at or within the range. Performance exceeding the range in a desired direction merits a "3" while performance falling short of the range receives a "1." Additionally, 0.5 points may be added to scores of 1 or 2 for some indicators if performance necessed a certain level of improvement over past performance. Performance on other indicators is judged by determining compliance with policies or practices. Compliance is expected and a score of 1 is given for non-analysis of an institutional appeal requesting special consideration. To determine overall performance (summarized on page 1 and at the end of this report): scores displayed for each indicator in the far right column are averaged; the average places the institution in 1 of 5 performance categories; and funding is allocated based on the category, not the individual score or average.

Report for: South Carolina State University

Four-Year Colleges and Universities Sector

Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title)	Measure Timeframe	lns	stitution's	Performan	ce	2000-0 ⁻ "2" if at/\			Score <3: Earn 0.5 for Improvement if			2000-01 Pe Sco	
Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) if applicable	Current PF YR	3 Yrs Prior	2 Yrs Prior	1 Yr Prior	Current PF YR	("1" if <# shown)		("3" if ># shown	Factor Applied	> or = to # shown	E S	Subpart	Indicator
1. MISSION FOCUS													
1A Expenditure of funds to achieve institutional mission. (Instruction+Academic Support to E&G Expend.)	FY 1999-00	60.9%	60.9%	62.6%	55.2%	58.0%	to	62.0%	3% of past 3-yr avg	63.3%	(1)		1.00
1B Curricula offered to achieve mission	as of Feb 2001			97%	90%	95%	to	99%	1	N/A			1.00

Institution achieved compliance on indicators 1C, Approval of a mission statement, and 1D, Adoption of a strategic plan to support the mission statement. Indicator 1E, Attainment of the strategic plan goals, was deferred while goals and targets were established in the 2000-01 performance year as part of Indicator 1D.

2.	2. QUALITY OF FACULTY														
2A	Acad	demic and other credentials of professors and instructo	rs:												2.88
	1	% headcount faculty teaching undergraduates meeting SACs requirements	Fall 2000		99.7%	100.0%	100.0%	98.0%	to	99.9%	٨	I/A		3.00	
	2a	% headcount faculty with terminal degrees teaching undergraduate classes	Fall 2000		52%	50%	72%	60%	to	69%	For each subpart (2a & 2b)	53%		3.00	
	2b	% full-time faculty with terminal degrees teaching undergraduate classes	Fall 2000		66%	61%	84%	80%	to	84%	3% of past 3-yr avg applies	66%		2.50	
2D	Com	pensation of Faculty :	_												2.75
	1a	Instructor Average	Fall 2000	\$31,105	\$30,606	\$32,085	\$33,474	\$27,339	to	\$32,430	For each	\$33,368		3.00	
	1b	Assistant Professor Average	Fall 2000	\$39,922	\$38,839	\$40,343	\$43,034	\$35,729	to	\$42,384	subpart, 4% of prior	\$41,957		3.00	
	1c	Associate Professor Average	Fall 2000	\$47,651	\$45,522	\$47,831	\$50,985	\$43,790	to	\$51,946	yr only	\$49,744		2.50	
	1d	Professor Average	Fall 2000	\$51,843	\$51,906	\$53,256	\$56,638	\$54,925	to	\$65,155	applies	\$55,386		2.50	
2E	Avai	lability of faculty to students outside the classroom :	(Assessed e	very two ye	ars)	•		-							2.75
	1	% classroom faculty rated satisfied on availability.	Fall 2000	84%	47%	on cycle	90%	80%	to	89%	5% of prior	69%		3.00	
	2	% of students satisfied with availability of advisors.	Spring 2000		64%	on cycle	82%	80%	to	89%	3-yr avg	67%		2.50	

Report for: South Carolina State University

Four-Year Colleges and Universities Sector

Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title)	Measure Timeframe	Ins	stitution's	Performan	ce	2000-01 5 "2" if at/wi		Score <3: Earn 0.5 for Improvement if			2000-01 Performance Scores
Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) if applicable	Current PF YR	3 Yrs Prior	2 Yrs Prior	1 Yr Prior	Current PF YR	("1" if <# shown)	("3" if ># shown	Factor Applied	> or = to # shown	E S	Subpart Indicator

Indicators 2B, Performance review system for faculty to include student and peer evaluations, and 2C, Post-tenure review system for tenured faculty, are assessed every 3 years and not scheduled for assessment this year. Indicator 2F, Community and public service activities of faculty for which no extra compensation is paid, is part of 2B.

3.	CLA	SSROOM QUALITY													
3A	Clas	s sizes and student /teacher ratios :						Expected	Subpai	rt Ranges				Complied	
	1a	average lower division class size	Fall 2000	26.3	26.8	25.9	25.1	20.0	to	35.0				in range	
	1b	average upper division class size	1 411 2000	15.1	17.0	16.6	16.1	12.0	to	27.0				inrange	
	2a	large classes: % undergrad lecture sections >=50	Fall 2000			3%	2%	0%	to	20%	Improveme applicable fo	nt factor not	-	in range	
	2b	large classes: % lower division lecture sections >=100	. 4 2000			0%	0%	0%	to	5%	арріісавіе іо	tilis iliuicato	•	ange	
	3	FTE students per FTE teaching faculty	Fall 2000	18.3	17.0	16.5	15.4	10.0	to	20.0				in range	
3C	Ratio	o of full-time faculty as compared to other full-time employees	Fall 2000	35.5%	36.8%	33.1%	36.0%	35.0%	to	41.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	36.2%			2.00
3D	Accr	editation of degree-granting programs	as of review Spring 2001	58%	58%	79%	79%	90%	to	99%	N	/A	(2)		1.00
3E	Instit	autional emphasis on quality teacher education and reform :													2.88
	1	NCATE accreditation	as of Spring 2001		YES	YES	YES	N/A, complia score of		•	N	/A		Complied	
	2a	% students passing NTE or PRAXIS II - Professional Knowledge	4/1/99 -	98.9%	100.0%	100.0%	96.9%	90.0%	to	94.0%	3% of prior	100.0%	(4)	3.00	
	2b	% students passing NTE or PRAXIS II - Specialty Area Exams	3/31/00	83.3%	67.1%	77.0%	87.0%	80.0%	to	89.0%	3-yr avg	78.1%	(4)	2.50	
	3a	% teacher education grads in critical shortage areas	FY 1999-00	37%	45%	65%	75%	20%	to	34%	5% of prior	51%		3.00	
	3b	% teacher education graduates who are minority	FT 1999-00			93%	95%	10%	to	20%	3-yr avg	98%		3.00	

Indicator 3B, Number of credit hours taught by faculty, was deferred from assessment in the 2001-02 performance year due to data issues.

4. INSTITUTIONAL COOPERATION & COLLABORATION

Indicators 4A, Sharing use of technology programs equipment supplies and source matter experts within the institution, with other institutions, and with the business community, and 4B, Cooperation and collaboration with private industry, are assessed every 2 years and were not scheduled for assessment during the 2000-01 performance year.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY												
5A Percentage of administrative costs to academic costs	FY 1999-00	24.8%	22.8%	22.7%	25.0%	18.0%	to	25.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	22.7%	(1) (3)	2.00
5D Amount of general overhead costs	FY 1999-00	\$1,303	\$1,282	\$1,287	\$1,630	\$1,009	to	\$1,444	3% of prior 3-yr avg	\$1,252	(1) (3)	1.00

Institution achieved compliance on Indicator 5B, Use of best management practices. Indicator 5C, Elimination of unjustified duplication of and waste in administrative and academic programs, is assessed every 3 years and was not scheduled for assessment during the 2000-01 performance year.

6. ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS											
6A SAT and ACT scores of student body	Fall 2000	16.5%	15.5%	14.6%	17.0%	30.0%	to	59.0%	5% of prior 3-yr avg	16.3%	1.50
High school class standing, GPA and activities of student body	Fall 2000	45.5%	46.6%	42.3%	42.4%	50.0%	to	64.0%	5% of prior 3-yr avg	47.0%	1.00
6D Priority on enrolling in-state students	Fall 2000		82.2%	79.7%	80.4%	65.0%	to	79.0%	5% of prior 3-yr avg	85.1%	3.00

Report for: South Carolina State University

Four-Year Colleges and Universities Sector

Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title)	Measure Timeframe	Ins	stitution's	Performan	ce	2000-01 St "2" if at/with			Earn 0.5 for vement if		Performance cores
Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) if applicable	Current PF YR	3 Yrs Prior	2 Yrs Prior	1 Yr Prior	Current PF YR	("1" if <# shown)	("3" if ># shown	Factor Applied	> or = to # 5	Subpart	Indicator

Institution achieved compliance on Indicator 6C, Post-secondary nonacademic achievement of student body.

7. GRADUATES' ACHIEVEMENTS									_			
7A Graduation rate (1st-time, full-time, degree-seeking students graduating within 150% of normal program time)	1994 cohort	46.6%	45.4%	47.1%	46.9%	36.0%	to	49.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	47.8%		2.00
Scores of graduates on post-undergrad professional, 7D graduate or employment-related examinations and certification tests	4/1/99 - 3/31/00	89.7%	82.2%	85.2%	89.7%	80.0%	to	89.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	88.3%	(4)	3.00
7F Credit hours earned of graduates	of earned degrees AY 1999-00	110%	110%	110%	110%	106%	to	110%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	107%	(3)	2.00

Indicators 7B, Employment rate for graduates and 7C, Employer feedback on graduates who were employed or not employed, are assessed every 2 years and were not scheduled for assessment during the 2000-01 performance year. Indicator 7E, Number of graduates who continued their education, is part of 7B.

8. USER-FRIENDLINESS OF THE INSTITUTION														
8A	Trans	sferability of credits to and from the institution	as of Feb 2001 report	100%	83%	100%	100%	83%	to	99%	N/A			3.00
8C	Acce	ssibility to the institution of all citizens of the State :												3.00
-	1	% of the undergraduate SC citizens enrolled who are minority (headcount)	Fall 2000		96.2%	96.0%	96.7%	21.0%	to	28.0%	8C1,2,3= 100.5% of prior	9%	3.00	
:	2	annual retention rate of SC degree-seeking undergrads who are minority.	Fall '99 to '00			84.5%	83.5%	74.0%	to	82.0%	3-yr avg 88.3	7%	3.00	
;	3	% graduate students who are minority (headcount)	Fall 2000	80.7%	75.7%	81.7%	75.5%	10.0%	to	13.0%	3% of prior 83.4	4%	3.00	
	4	% teaching faculty who are minority (headcount)	Fall 2000		61.1%	65.7%	69.9%	10.0%	to	13.0%	3-yr avg 65.:	3%	3.00	

Indicator 8B, Continuing education programs for graduates and others, does not apply to this institution.

9. RESEARCH FUNDING										
9A Financial support for reform in teacher education	FY 00 / FYs 97,98,99 Avg	182.5%	182.7%	102.3%	507.6%	80.0%	to	119.0%	N/A	3.00

Indicator 9B, Amount of public and private sector grants, does not apply to this institution.

PERFORMANCE YEAR 2000-01 SCORING SUMMARY (OVERALL SCORE TO IMPACT FY 2001-02 ALLOCATION)

Based on scores in the above column at far right labeled "2000-01 Performance Scores":

35 Total Applicable Indicators (including two that are assessed within other indicators).

Exceeded standards (scores of 3) on 5 indicators.

Achieved Standards (scores of 2.00 to 2.99) on 8 indicators.

Did Not Achieve Standards (scores of 1.00 to 1.99) on 6 indicators.

Achieved Compliance on 5 indicators. 7 indicators assessed On Cycle. 2 indicators were deferred.

of indicators averaged 19

Average: 2.15

Subtotal:

Average / 3.00 Max: 72%

Category is Achieves

40.76

NOTES:

- (1) Includes restricted and unrestricted funds for research institutions and unrestricted funds only for all others. Excludes funds transfers for all.
- (2) Percentage reflects programs accredited and on track for accreditation by April 2002.
- (3) A downward trend is expected. If performance is lower than the low end of the range (# on right for this indicator) a 3 is awarded.
- (4) For institutions with teacher education programs, scores for the middle school pedagogy examination (PLT 5-9) are excluded. Curricula are being developed/adopted to support this new certification are